

REMARKS

Claims 1-11, and 16-20 are presently pending. Claims 17-20 are added. Continued Examination is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from the combination of Kono and Schoner. Claim 1 is amended to recite, among other limitations, "a FIFO for storing indicators indicating images to be displayed". Examiner has indicated that "Kono does not particularly teach a queue comprises a FIFO for storing indicators indicating images to be displayed". Office Action, at 3.

However, Examiner has indicated that Schoner teaches "a FIFO (116 of fig. 8) for storing indicators indicating images to be displayed (col. 6, lines 8-10; col. 13, lines 4-50), and wherein the queue (W,L, C,FC, Top, Bottom in FIFO 116 of fig. 8) stores the indicators in a particular order (Top or Bottom of Fig.8), and wherein the display engine (118 of fig. 8) displays the picture associated with the indicators in an order corresponding to the order that the indicators are stored in the queue (col. 6, lines 10-16; col. 13, lines 51-68)."

Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection and calls Examiner's attention to Schoner, Col. 9, Lines 3-8, "The image data from a macroblock row is therefore normally used by the display processor eight lines at a time. To provide for this, the bitstream decoder stores the odd lines and even lines of the macroblock row in separate memory segments and provides these pointers separately to buffer 116." While Schoner describes that "buffer 116" storing "pointers" to "odd lines and even lines of the macroblock row in separate memory segments", Schoner

clearly does not show "indicators indicating images to be displayed".

Accordingly, Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejection to claims 1, 5, 8, and 11, as well as to dependent claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9, and 16-20.

Additionally, added claims 17-20 recite, among other things, "wherein each indicator indicates a different image to be displayed". Schoner clearly does not describe this limitation. Thus, notwithstanding claims 1, 5, 8, and 11, claims 17-20 should be allowed.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee submits that each of the pending claims are now in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

It is believed that all monies for the actions described herein are provided with this correspondence. To the extent that additional monies are required for any of the actions requested in the correspondence, Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees and credit any overpayments to deposit account 13-0017.

Respectfully Submitted



Mirut Dalal
Attorney for Assignee
Reg. No. 44,052

October 31, 2007

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison - Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60661
Phone (312) 775-8000
FAX (312) 775-8100